

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,304	12/23/2003	Jong-Boo Kim	1349.1362	5577
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 09/05/2007 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		PARRIES, DRU M		
WASHINGTO	NK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

7

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/743,304	KIM, JONG-BOO
Examiner	Art Unit
Dru M. Parries	2836

•	Dia M. Fames	2000				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, at otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire.	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLT WAS P	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of . le appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS	. William and time period out forth in	07 01 11 4 1.07 (a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause			
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,	•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	): <u>·</u>					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will be	ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce hecause:			
•						
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
•						
	·		•			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The contact time between the second and first protrustions and terminals were never compared before in the claims.

MICHAEL SHERRY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800